



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/691,944

10/23/2003

Lowell D. Bok

56181.2500

6320

85628

7590

03/20/2009

SNELL & WILMER L.L.P. (GOODRICH)

ONE ARIZONA CENTER

400 E. VAN BUREN STREET

PHOENIX, AZ 85004-2202

EXAMINER

SMITH, FRANCIS P

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1792

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/20/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/691,944	Applicant(s) BOK ET AL.	
	Examiner Francis P. Smith	Art Unit 1792	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Francis P. Smith. (3) Damon L. Boyd, Esq.

(2) Mikhail Kornakov. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 March 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Froberg (US 3,944,686); Purdy (US 2001/0019752); Golecki et al. (US 5,348,774).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: A process for continuously processing porous material as per the instant invention was discussed in light of the prior art references. Applicants proposed amendments to further stress the idea of continuously loading modules containing porous material in to a chamber. Regarding the patentability of the suggested amendment, an agreement was not reached at this time since at least an additional consideration of the prior art is required.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/F. P. S./ Examiner, Art Unit 1792	/Michael Kornakov/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1792
---------------------------------------	--